## Message

From: McClure, Peter [mcclure@srcinc.com]

**Sent**: 5/2/2014 8:31:22 PM

To: Hogan, Karen [Hogan.Karen@epa.gov]; Pratt, Margaret [pratt.margaret@epa.gov]

CC: Chiu, Weihsueh [Chiu.Weihsueh@epa.gov]; Flowers, Lynn [Flowers.Lynn@epa.gov]; Rice, Glenn

[rice.glenn@epa.gov]; Melia, Julie [jmelia@srcinc.com]; Carlson-Lynch, Heather [hclynch@srcinc.com]

Subject: RE: BPA#: EP-BPA-11-C-0018; Contract No. GS-00F-0019L; TO#: EP-B14C-00008: PROPOSED WORK PAHS #16-20 AND

11-25

Attachments: proposed changes by PAH 16-20 SRC 050214.docx; Proposed changes by PAH 21-25 SRC 050214.doc

Margaret, Karen et al.

Thanks for your comments. Attached are files with summaries of proposed work for PAHs #16-20 AND 21-25. More to come.

We look forward to your responses.

Peter

Peter McClure, PhD, DABT Senior Toxicologist SRC, Inc. 7502 Round Pond Road North Syracuse, New York 13212 315 452 8420 (T) 315 452 8440 (F)

From: Hogan, Karen [mailto:Hogan.Karen@epa.gov]

Sent: Friday, May 02, 2014 11:45 AM

To: Pratt, Margaret; McClure, Peter; Melia, Julie; Carlson-Lynch, Heather

Cc: Chiu, Weihsueh; Flowers, Lynn; Rice, Glenn

Subject: RE: BPA#: EP-BPA-11-C-0018; Contract No. GS-00F-0019L; TO#: EP-B14C-00008: PROPOSED WORK PAHS #1-

5

## Dear all,

Just a clarification in addition to Margaret's note yesterday. Pending confirmation by Margaret, in the disposition summaries it will be helpful to flag when there is no suitable BaP data in addition to the other flags. In particular, several cases of studies with no tumor incidence for a PAH would seem to lead to a 0 RPF to be averaged with other RPFs, when it's really the lack of BaP data that determines no further work for these. At least through PAH #6, if I'm not misunderstanding anything.

Still good to flag the lack of response; we will characterize where needed whether or not the PAH dose was high enough to see a response given the designs for these studies.

Thanks,

Karen